

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER POR PATENTS PO Box (430) Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.orupo.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/693,272	10/24/2003	Jordon D. Honeck	P0011374.00	2358
27581 7590 06/25/2008 MEDTRONIC, INC. 710 MEDTRONIC PARKWAY NE			EXAMINER	
			BOCKELMAN, MARK	
MINNEAPOLIS, MN 55432-9924			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			3766	
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE
			06/25/2008	PAPER

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

Application No. Applicant(s) 10/693 272 HONECK, JORDON D. Office Action Summary Examiner Art Unit Mark W. Bockelman 3766 -- The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address --Period for Reply A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) OR THIRTY (30) DAYS. WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133). Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b). Status 1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 29 May 2008. 2a) This action is FINAL. 2b) This action is non-final. 3) Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213. Disposition of Claims 4) Claim(s) 1.2 and 4-41 is/are pending in the application. 4a) Of the above claim(s) _____ is/are withdrawn from consideration. 5) Claim(s) _____ is/are allowed. 6) Claim(s) 1-2, 4-41 is/are rejected. 7) Claim(s) _____ is/are objected to. 8) Claim(s) _____ are subject to restriction and/or election requirement. Application Papers 9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner. 10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are; a) accepted or b) objected to by the Examiner. Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abevance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a). Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d). 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152. Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119 12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some * c) None of: Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.

1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)

Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)

3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SZ/UE)
Paper No(s)/Mail Date ______

Attachment(s)

Interview Summary (PTO-413)
 Paper No(s)/Mail Date.

6) Other:

Notice of Informal Patent Application.

Application/Control Number: 10/693,272

Art Unit: 3766

DETAILED ACTION

Response to Amendment

Applicant's request for reconsideration of the finality of the rejection of the last Office action is persuasive and, therefore, the finality of that action is withdrawn.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 112

The following is a quotation of the second paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:

The specification shall conclude with one or more claims particularly pointing out and distinctly claiming the subject matter which the applicant regards as his invention.

Claims 1-12 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, as being indefinite for failing to particularly point out and distinctly claim the subject matter which applicant regards as the invention.

Claim 1 at line 3 refers to "the distal portion". There is not antecedent basis for this limitation in the claim.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

(b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.

Claims 1-2, 4-5, 9-11, 13-19, 21-23, 27-29, 31-34, 40-41 are rejected under 35

U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Krevenhagen et al. USPN 5,152,298.

Kreyenhagen et al. show a tool with a proximal portion 18 with tapered passage 20 and a distal portion 26 with an expandable engagement mechanism in the form of a collar 30. The two portions are rotatable with respect to each other, and while the screw

Application/Control Number: 10/693,272

Art Unit: 3766

thread bearing surface requires relative axial movement along the lead axis 12, it does not permit lateral movement (i.e. in or out of the page as viewed). The threads serve a bearing and any part of the external surface may serve as a handle. The examiner considers the screw threads as well as the variable distance between the proximal and distal portions to be a rotation indicator.

Claims 1-2, 4-5, 9 - 23, 27-34, 40-41 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Starkey et al. The examiner applies a similar interpretation to the Starkey et al reference as the Kreyenhagen reference. The tapered passage 20 narrows towards the inside of the handle, which the examiner considers an interface and it also narrows from the proximal portion 23 toward the distal portion due to the radial narrowing of the outer surface. Collar engagement portion 20 is c-shaped as in figure 4.

Claims 1-2, 4-41 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Huff et al USPN 5,330,204. Huff teaches a conventional chuck which is fully capable engaging a lead pin. In figure 5, the proximal portion 14 is rotatably mounted to a disatl portion. The proximal portion is shown as having screw thread but is taught as being replaceable with a tapered drive portion (column 3 lines 21-22). The tapered drive portion communicates that a distal passage in the distal portion which has an expandable engagement mechanism in the form of a chuck collar 20 that includes jaws. Figures 5-5g show various flexible arms and detent mechanisms that can provide a audible and tactile rotation indicator that prevents over tightening.

Application/Control Number: 10/693,272

Art Unit: 3766

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior at are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

Claim 30 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Kreyenhagen et al. USPN 5,152,298. Applicant differs in providing gripping ridges on the proximal portion, which would have been a notoriously old and well inclusion for handling medical devices.

Response to Arguments

Applicant's arguments with respect to claims 1-2, 4-41 have been considered but are moot in view of the new ground(s) of rejection.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Mark W. Bockelman whose telephone number is (571) 272-4941. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday - Friday 8:00 - 4:30.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Carl Layno can be reached on (571) 272 -4949. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Application/Control Number: 10/693,272 Page 5

Art Unit: 3766

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

/Mark W Bockelman/ Primary Examiner, Art Unit 3766 June 21, 2008